

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,688	11/08/2001	Lon Kensek		7064
7:	. 03/27/2003			
Patrick J. Walsh, Esq.			EXAMINER	
400 Main Street Stamford, CO 06901		LEVY, NEIL S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	2
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{M}$	Application No. 2 Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary Examiner	775.00/8	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c	over sheet beneath the correspondence address-	
Period for Reply	9. AWS	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $_{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}$ OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	FROM THE MAILING DAT	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the 	statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. B) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal r accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1;		
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election	
Application Papers	requirement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F	PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	e Examiner.	
$\hfill \square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority □ received. 		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)		
\square received in this national stage application from the International B	() () () () () () () () () ()	
☐ received in this national stage application from the International B *Certified copies not received:		
*Certified copies not received:		
*Certified copies not received:	•	
*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	•	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

11.

Part of Paper No.

- -

Application/Control Number: 10/010,688

Art Unit: 1616

Receipt is acknowledged of IDS, Correction, Extension, Declaration, and amendment of respectively.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3 are drawn to methods, classified in class 424, subclass 409.
- II. Claims 4, 6, Tare drawn to concentrate, classified in class 423, subclass 102.
- III. Claims 5, 8 and 9, are drawn to compositions, classified in class 514, subclass 675.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

the group I methods are independent and patentably distinct, from those of the

concentrate and compositions II and III, as other actives may be used with group I, such
as hot pepper.

Group II is independent and patentably distinct from III, as the concentrates of II may be used in other compositions such as perfumes, and the compositions can include other agents.

The groups I-III have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, the search for any 1 group is not required for any other group, and a search and examination of the entire application would place an undue burden on the Examiner, the present restriction requirement is proper for examination purposes.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,688

Art Unit: 1616

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: for groups II or III, if elected: Buttering agent: Sucrose?

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 4, 5 and 9 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/010,688

Art Unit: 1616

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See M.P.E.P. Sec. 812.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is 308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday- Friday 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 308-4556 for regular communications and 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

Levy/tgd March 11, 2003

> NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER